RTO West CONGESTION MANAGEMENT WORK GROUP MEETING (CM WG05)

On July 10-11, 2000

RTO West at 5933 NE Win Sivers Dr. Portland and Telephone Conference Call 9:30 – 5:00 pm, 8:30am- 12pm

Work Group Meeting Summary Version 2 – July 17, 2000

Attendees (39 attendees in total with some by telephone):

F-NAME	L-NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE	EMAIL
John	Anasis	BPA-TLB	360-418-2263	jganasis@bpa.gov
Don	Badley	NWPP	503-464-2805	don.badley@nwpp.org
Rich	Bayless	PacifiCorp	503-813-5739	Rich.Bayless@pacificorp.com
Dennis	Bickford	Power Resource Managers	509-546-5951	dbickford@nwinfo.net
Ray	Bliven	RCS for DSIs	360-737-3877	rdb@keywaycorp.com
Ray	Brush	Montana Power	406-497-4278	rbrush@mtpower.com
Warren	Clark	Avista Corp	509-495-4186	wclark@avistacorp.com
Robin	Cross	Snohomish PUD	425-783-8481	rhcross@snopud.com
Steve	Daniel	GDS Associates for UAMPS	770-425-8100	steved@gdsassoc.com
Angela	DeClerck	BPA-PBL	503-230-3886	ardeclerck@bpa.gov
Chuck	Durick	Idaho Power Company	208-388-2450	cdurick@idahopower.com
Brian	Gedrich	GDS Associates for UAMPS		briang@gdsassoc.com
Wally	Gibson	Northwest Power Planning Council	503-222-5161	wgibson@nwppc.org
Kurt	Granat	PAC Transmission	503-813-5744	kurt.granat@pacificorp.com
David	Hackett	KEMA	503-258-0187	dhackett@kemaconsulting.com
Bob	Harshbarger	Puget Sound Energy	425-882-4466	bharsh@puget.com
Steve	Huhman	Southern Energy Marketing		steve.huhman@southernenergy.com
Gerit	Hull	BPA-PBL	503-230-4029	gfhull@bpa.gov
Carl	Imparato	Power Marketers	510-558-1456	cfi1@tca-us.com
Don	Long	Grant PUD	509-754-5055	Dlong!gcpud.org
Ron	Moulton	WAPA - CRSP-MC	801-524-4012	moulton@wapa.gov
Paul	Murphy	Direct Service Industries	503-227-1011	pmurphy@mbllp.com
Lauren	Nichols	BPA-TBL	360-418-2857	Inichols@bpa.gov
Larry	Nordell	Montana DEQ	406-444-6757	Inordell@state.mt.us
Arne	Olson	WA DCTED	360-956-2022	arneo@ep.cted.wa.gov
Ren	Orans	Energy & Environmental Economics	415-391-5100	ren@ethree.com
Rick	Paschall	Pacific NW Generating Coop	503-288-4870	rpaschall@pngc.com
Dennis	Phillips	BPA-PBL	503-230-5062	dwphillips@bpa.gov
Chris	Reese	Puget Sound Energy	465-462-3055	creese@puget.com
Jerry	Rust	ESCA Corporation	503-626-3932	lorusty4@msn.com
Mike	Ryan	Portland General Electric	503-464-8793	mike_ryan@pgn.com
Brian	Silverstein	BPA-TBL	360-418-8678	blsilverstein@bpa.gov
Rob	Sirvaitis	Power Resource Managers	360-693-8484	rsirvaitis@prmllp.com
Ajay	Sood	Idaho Power Company	208-388-2457	asood@idahopower.com
Barney	Speckman	KEMA		bmspeckman@aol.com
Rick	Vermeers	Avista Corp.		rvermeers@avistacorp.com
Steve	Walton	Enron		steve.walton@enron.com
Steve	Weiss	NW Energy Coalition		steve@nwenergy.org
Linc	Wolverton	Industrial Customers of NW Utilities	360-263-3675	lwolv@worldaccessnet.com

Calendar:

May 24, 2000	Kick Off Meeting for WG (Meeting #1) Complete	RTO West Facility
June 6-7, 2000	Congestion Management Workshop Complete	RTO West Facility
June 12	CM WG Meeting #2 (9:30am 4 pm) Complete	RTO West Facility
June 19	CM WG Meeting #3 (9:30am 4 pm) Complete	RTO West Facility
June 26-27	June 26 - Joint CM /AS WGs Meeting Complete	RTO West Facility
	June 27 - CM WG Meeting Completed	RTO West Facility
July 10-11	CM WG Meeting #5 (9:30 am – 5 pm, 8:30am – 12	RTO West Facility
	pm) Complete	
July 18	CM WG Meeting #6 (note meeting on July 17 is	RTO West Facility
	cancelled)	
July 24-25	CM WG Meeting #7	RTO West Facility
July 31-August	CM WG Meeting #8	RTO West Facility
1, 2000		

Note: Assignments below include new, open, in-progress and closed (closed last meeting but report in these minutes). Assignments closed prior to last meeting and previously reported in the minutes have been deleted.

Assignments (Includes Action Items) from July 10-11 Work Group	Status
Meeting:	
1. D. Hackett took a new assignment to find out what data is being	New
collected by legal for existing contracts.	
2. David Hackett took an assignment to generate an outline for the CM	New
white paper using the combined documents of IndeGO, DSTAR and	
Mountain West ISA.	
Assignments (Includes Action Items) from June 26-27 Work Group	Status
Meeting:	
1. Carl Imparato is define the permissive dispatch market details for review at	Open
the Joint CM/AS meeting in the afternoon on July 11,2000.	
2. Teams A, B, and E defined in agenda item 5 to generate a draft	In progress
position on the assigned area and be prepared a presentation for the	
July 10 WG meeting.	
3. Teams C and D defined in agenda item 5 to generate a draft position	Open
on the assigned areas.	
Assignments (Includes Action Items) from June 19 Work Group	Status
Meeting:	
1. Team #1 to clean up the list of constrained paths (flowgates), define	In progress
the rational for the path being on the list (e.g.; existing operational	
procedures, % loading by time, historical usage, etc.) and identify the	
constrained lines by name if not previously identified. The goal is to	
complete this assignment by the June 27, next meeting of the CM WG	
3. Each WG member is to identify several generator and load	In progress

withdrawal point within each area modeled.	
4. Team #2 agreed to update draft document to incorporate the topics	In progress
discussed.	
5. Flow distribution factors to be created to enable CM WG to proceed	In process by team E
with determining the commercial viability of the flowgate model	
(including methods for creating zones, effect of ignoring FDFs less than	
a threshold, and effects of holding FDFs constant).	
Assignments (Includes Action Items) from May 24 Work Group	Status
Meeting:	
2. Deanna Phillips volunteered to be the WG liaison with NERC CM	Ongoing
activities.	

Summary of Consensus (Decisions Made):

Highlights of Meeting by Agenda Topic

Agenda topic 1 - Introduction / Finalize Agenda

The agenda was accepted as drafted.

Agenda topic 2 – Team Reports

<u>Team A Report</u>: Overall goals is to develop a position that answers to the following questions:

How many rights are needed? When issued?

What are the rights (Term Sheet)? Considers both less than 1 year and greater than one year. Investigate Firm, non-Firm, and NC rights.

How are revenues from sale of rights treated?

How do you define incentives for above average availability?

How do you manage: a) Congestion with contingencies in real-time

(curtailment)?

- b) Congestion with contingencies in day ahead to realtime market (curtailment)?
- c) Residual Congestion?
- d) Seams / Externalities?

How do you define the stability of flow distribution factors and how/when do you derate flow gate rights?

Team consist of: Ren Orans (Leader), Deanna Phillips, John Anasis, Brian Silverstein, Kirk Granat, Carl Imparato, Dave Perrino, Dennis Phillips, Wally Gibson, Arne. Olson, and Don. Long.

Wally Gibson presented a paper on FTR firmness that identified the issues of balancing requirements on the "Rights" vs. "transmission supply" side.

John Anasis presented, via a handout, the issues associated with the conservative and aggressive approach in allocating FTRs.

Dave Perrino's paper was presented covering an approach to buy-back rights if a constrained path required some level of curtailment. The goal of the approach was to strike a balance between to have long term meaningful FTRs and the need to have flexibility to operate and maintain the system at acceptability levels of availability.

Lastly, Ren Orans presented a sample term sheet that could be used to define the rights associated with a flowgate.

After discussion of rights, the following was captured on the whiteboard as a method to help Team A address the strawman position.

- 1. Number and Type of Rights (products)
- 2. How are the rights products sold/ released
 - a. E.g.: yearly, monthly, etc
 - b. Heavy vs. light load conditions, temperature, etc.
- 3. Extent that RTO guarantees the rights sold (buy back vs. just derate)

After the presentations and discussion concluded, Team A agreed to generate a strawman paper covering the team assignments and the relevant discussion this morning.

<u>Team E Report</u>: Overall goals is to develop a position that answers to the following questions: What are the flow gates and how are they changed? What are the FDF for the flow gates and what is commercially significant?

Team members are Rick Vermeers (Leader), Ray Brush, Chuck Durick, Kirk Granat, John Anasis, Chris Reese, M. Ryan, J. Tucker, and P. Parks.

Gordon Comegys presented the results of the path distribution factors for commercially important paths. Results are located at ttp://ftp.bpa.gov/outgoing/toc.rto_cm/master.xls.

At the end of the discussion, Team E agreed to address the following:

- 1. Are the flowgates correct in the case study provided by Gordon?
- 2. How many flowgates are needed?
- 3. Does coherency exist for generators within the zones between flowgates?
- 4. How do you rate the flowgates? % or rating?

A key task that is important to the success of any flow-based method is the translation of Rights from Contract Path to Flow Based. In IndeGO, the proposal was to allocate rights on the contract path and then require the owners to purchase and sell rights to get the flow-based configuration. The result of this task is very important to all participants

<u>Team B Report</u>: Overall goals is to develop a position that answers to the following questions: How are existing rights handled at startup of the RTO?

What are the committed uses of existing rights? How are these committed uses of existing rights translated to flow gates? How are the translated rights allocated? Consider both Network and PTP.

This team consists of: Coe Hutchinson, Deanna Phillips, Dennis Metcalf (Leader), Angela DeClerck, Robin Cross and Kirk Conger.

Team B presented as series of handouts as follows:

- 1. Brian Silverstein on "Relationship Between Eligible Customers and the RTO Foundation for Defining Existing Rights". Discussion on the handling of existing native load was discussed as to who holds the rights. These rights could be withheld or assigned to the RTO.
- 2. Next two papers were discussed that attempted to bound the positions on retaining transmission rights. Steve Huhman present the side on "minimal retention of rights" and Kirk Conger's email (presented by Robin Cross) presented the position of a load serving utility. These two positions covered: Auction, allocation of rights and conversion by mutual consent.

Brian Silverstein presented a template for collecting existing contract data. Discussion covered the legal WG is addressing existing contracts. D. Hackett took a new assignment to find out what data is being collected by legal.

<u>Team C Report</u>: Overall goals is to develop a position that answers to the following question: How are the pricing signals for long-term expansion generated?

Team consists of: Wally Gibson, Steve Walton, Brian Silverstein, and Tom Foley.

Teams C and D were defined as lower priority and they will make a presentation at the next meeting.

<u>Team D Report</u>: Overall goals is to develop a position that answers to the following question: How do you mitigate market power?

This team consists of Angela DeClerck and Dennis Phillips.

Teams C and D were defined as lower priority and they will make a presentation at the next meeting.

Agenda topic 3 – Next Step

David Hackett took an assignment to generate an outline for the CM white paper using the combined documents of IndeGO, DSTAR and Mountain West ISA.

Agenda topic 4 – Joint Meeting with Transmission Planning

A joint meeting with planning was held using the two papers distributed prior to the meeting. Marv Landauer presented flow charts showing a total market based planning approach and a second flow chart for a market approach with a load service backstop. Decisions from the flow charts were presented via two tables (Load service and congestion planning) that compared the various models developed by Transmission Planning WG. In the presentation the definition for "load service" was there was "no more redispatch available".

Agreement reached with planning on:

- 1. Those who pay should have input into the decision process
- 2. Separate the decision between Congestion Management (relieving congestion through flowgates) and load service (reliability).

A request was made to the Planning WG to:

- 1. Work with CM WG to define flowgates and determine if commercially significant. Several TXPL WG members volunteered to help.
- 2. Planning WG to define the projects that are being implemented pre-RTO that will reduce congestion on currently defined RTO flowgates.
- 3. Define specific problems addressed today by planners and determine how each problem would handle by the options in the matrix of planning continuums.

During the Planning discussions, PacifiCorp raised the concern that the flow-based approach may not provide additional benefits beyond the current path-based market model. To address this issue, Rich Bayless and Kurt Granat agreed to propose a complete model that will address their concern. In the meantime, the CM WG would continue their work on flowgates model.

The work group meeting ended at Noon on 7/11/00.

Next Meeting:

- Next meeting: July 18, 2000 8:30 am 5 pm, Work Group #6 on Congestion Management @ RTO West Facility, 5933 NE Win Sivers Dr., Portland, OR, 97220
- Call in telephone number: call D. Hackett 503-258-0187 if a number is needed
- Agenda for Work Group Meeting #6
 - o July 18 CM WG Meeting
 - Outline of Congestion White-paper
 - Report by Teams
 - Next step

Minutes prepared by: D. F. Hackett

Handouts (Items not previously email in electronic form)